

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Displacement Without Disorder: Rhythmic Argument in Beethoven's Piano Music

Ferenc Rados^{1,*} and Khalid Al-Mansoori²

¹Keyboard and Chamber Music, Liszt Ferenc Academy of Music, Budapest, Hungary

²American University of Ras Al Khaimah, Ras Al Khaimah, United Arab Emirates

Correspondence: ferencrados@lisztacademy.hu

Abstract

Rhythmic displacement is frequently treated as local disruption, a description that does not fully explain how later events restore, revise, or preserve metric expectations. This article asks how displacement can sustain argumentative continuity in Beethoven's piano music. It compares selected public-domain movements from the Piano Sonatas Op. 10 No. 3, Op. 31 No. 2, and Op. 57 with the Six Bagatelles, Op. 126. Score-based comparative reading follows syncopations, displaced accents, phrase overlaps, registral attacks, recurrence, and later metric clarification. The analysis argues that displacement becomes intelligible through retrospective reinterpretation, that registral emphasis can compete with meter without erasing it, and that recurrence transforms local instability into large-scale memory. The article contributes a relational account of rhythmic argument grounded in the timing of expectation, transfer, and return rather than isolated sonorities. Its claims remain limited by the unspecified score editions and absence of verified measure-level locators, which should be resolved before edition-dependent conclusions are advanced.

Keywords: Beethoven; rhythm; displacement; piano sonata; form

1 Introduction

Displacement Without Disorder examines how rhythmic displacement produces argumentative continuity rather than simple disruption. Its corpus comprises selected public-domain movements from Beethoven's piano sonatas and bagatelles. The central claim is that displacement becomes intelligible through subsequent reinterpretation. That proposition becomes consequential because rhythm participates in compositional reasoning by revising what listeners take to be structurally accented. Attention therefore falls on syncopations, displaced accents, phrase overlaps, registral attacks, and subsequent metric clarification.

The inquiry is more specific than a general history of rhythmic argument. Within this repertoire, rhythmic displacement is assessed through syncopations, displaced accents, phrase overlaps, registral attacks, and subsequent metric clarification. Its definition changes only when those features alter the interpretation.

Three findings organize the article. First, displacement becomes intelligible through later reinterpretation. Second, registral emphasis can compete with meter without erasing it. Third, recurrence transforms local instability into large-scale memory. In combination, they

demonstrate that rhythmic argument is neither a decorative effect nor a label attached after interpretation. The argument remains proportionate to the repertoire.

The research question is how displaced accent can sustain formal continuity rather than produce undifferentiated disorder. The objective is to compare score-based relations among accent, register, phrase function, recurrence, and later metric clarification. The article proceeds through critical context, corpus and method, repertoire comparison and findings, discussion, limitations, and conclusion.

Metric displacement becomes analytically precise when surface accent is compared with projected grouping. Krebs's theory of metrical dissonance distinguishes competing layers of periodic organization [1]. Rothstein's phrase-rhythmic method connects those local conflicts to larger formal spans [2].

2 Rhythmic displacement

A narrow question gives Displacement Without Disorder its comparative reach. Instead of asking what the selected works mean as wholes, it asks how syncopations, displaced accents, phrase overlaps, registral attacks, and subsequent metric clarification organize rhythmic displacement

under different formal conditions. The repeated question creates comparability; the different answers preserve historical and medium-specific distinctions. This design also makes revision possible: a disputed case can alter one step of the argument without requiring the entire article to retreat into thematic summary or unsupported generalization.

Displacement Without Disorder defines rhythmic displacement through consequences that can be traced. A formal event belongs to the concept when it alters expectation, access, authority, memory, or the range of plausible readings. This definition joins the three claims while leaving room for disagreement about individual instances. It also identifies a stopping rule: if the asserted change cannot be located in syncopations, displaced accents, phrase overlaps, registral attacks, and subsequent metric clarification or in their documented historical mediation, the article must describe the observation without assigning it the stronger interpretive label.

Formal-function theory provides terms for relating local accent to phrase position [3]. Sonata theory supplies a broader account of expectation, convention, and retrospective reinterpretation [4]. Theories of musical expectation clarify why a delayed clarification can change the force of

an earlier event [5]. Generative accounts of tonal structure support distinguishing surface accent from hierarchical meter [6]. Cognitive work on anticipation reinforces the article's focus on preparation and later confirmation while not substituting for score evidence [7].

3 Displacement, Register, and Metric Clarification

Primary analysis centers on Beethoven's Piano Sonata Op. 10 No. 3 [8], Piano Sonata Op. 31 No. 2 [9], Piano Sonata Op. 57 [10], and Six Bagatelles Op. 126 [11]. The scores support contrastive inquiry and are not a statistical sample. Each item offers a distinct configuration of rhythmic argument and permits comparison through syncopations, displaced accents, phrase overlaps, registral attacks, and later metric clarification.

The principal process is score-based comparative analysis relating surface accent, notated meter, phrase function, texture, and return.

For Displacement Without Disorder, the decisive evidence lies in syncopations, displaced accents, phrase overlaps, registral attacks, and later metric clarification. The protocol records position, recurrence, interruption, and consequence before assigning interpretive weight. That order matters because a repeated feature may retain its appearance while changing function, whereas a later clarification may redirect the whole sequence. Claims about intention, reception, performance, or prevalence are withheld because the present method does not supply that evidence.

Because rhythmic displacement depends on placement, source variation matters. An omitted preface, altered pagination, editorial dynamic, cropped image, restored shot, or changed blocking can shift the connection under discussion. Displacement Without Disorder responds by keeping version-specific observations separate from conclusions that hold across versions. This practice narrows some claims, but it also prevents the authority of a polished format from concealing an uncertain evidentiary base.

4 Repertoire in comparison: Displacement Without Disorder

The opening reference point comes from Ludwig van Beethoven's Piano Sonata in D Major, Op. 10 No. 3. The reading tests the proposition that displacement becomes intelligible through later reinterpretation by restricting attention to syncopations, displaced accents,

phrase overlaps, registral attacks, and subsequent metric clarification. It then identifies which surrounding relations are necessary for that account.

In Ludwig van Beethoven's Piano Sonata in D Major, Op. 10 No. 3, the proposition that displacement becomes intelligible through subsequent reinterpretation is evaluated through placement, not keyword recurrence. The reading asks what prepares each occurrence of syncopations, displaced accents, phrase overlaps, registral attacks, and subsequent metric clarification, which agent or organizational layer controls it, and what consequence follows. A detail counts as evidence only when its surrounding sequence changes the available judgment. This restriction prevents the case from being reduced to an example chosen after the thesis was formed.

A close reading of Ludwig van Beethoven's Piano Sonata in D Major, Op. 10 No. 3 must therefore document the first relevant occurrence of syncopations, displaced accents, phrase overlaps, registral attacks, and subsequent metric clarification, the expectation active at that moment, and the outcome that distinguishes it from background recurrence. The case supports Displacement Without Disorder only if those three points can be located in the consulted version. A general similarity to the other cases is not enough; the episode must show why the proposition about rhythmic displacement is needed to explain its organization.

The next score episode, Ludwig van Beethoven's Piano Sonata in D Minor, Op. 31 No. 2, tests the distributive dimension of the argument. Here the governing question is whether registral emphasis can compete with meter without erasing it. This is not understood as a defect in reliability.

Ludwig van Beethoven's Piano Sonata in D Minor, Op. 31 No. 2 changes the scale of comparison. Here rhythmic displacement is read through the distribution of access, emphasis, and response among scores. The relevant question is not whether the same surface feature returns, but whether its return gives a different participant, voice, register, or position the capacity to redirect interpretation. That distributive test supplies the pressure behind the claim that registral emphasis can compete with meter without erasing it.

For Ludwig van Beethoven's Piano Sonata in D Minor, Op. 31 No. 2, the principal counter-reading treats the observed distribution as a genre convention with no special bearing on rhythmic displacement. The article answers only at

the level of syncopations, displaced accents, phrase overlaps, registral attacks, and subsequent metric clarification: it asks whether a transfer of access or emphasis produces a subsequent effect that convention alone leaves unexplained. If it does not, the second proposition narrows. If it does, the case clarifies how formal hierarchy becomes an event rather than a static arrangement.

A further comparison focuses on Ludwig van Beethoven's Piano Sonata in F Minor, Op. 57 and gives priority to revision over initial recognition. The interpretive test is whether recurrence transforms local instability into large-scale memory.

The value of Ludwig van Beethoven's Piano Sonata in F Minor, Op. 57 is retrospective. Following passages are reread against the first account of syncopations, displaced accents, phrase overlaps, registral attacks, and subsequent metric clarification, allowing the article to distinguish repetition from revision. If the following event merely restates the earlier one, the proposition that recurrence transforms local instability into large-scale memory receives little support. If it changes the status of what the reader, listener, or viewer already encountered, the case shows how rhythmic displacement acquires compositional memory.

Verification in Ludwig van Beethoven's Piano Sonata in F Minor, Op. 57 depends on two locators: one for the initial treatment of syncopations, displaced accents, phrase overlaps, registral attacks, and subsequent metric clarification and one for the moment that alters its status. The interval between them is analytically important because it determines what can be remembered, foreseen, or misrecognized. Recording both locations prevents the later reading from being projected backward as if it had been available from the start, and it gives the claim about rhythmic displacement a visible temporal basis.

The fourth score passage, Ludwig van Beethoven's Six Bagatelles, Op. 126, functions as a resistant comparison.

Ludwig van Beethoven's Six Bagatelles, Op. 126 remains in the selection because it resists easy synthesis. Its treatment of syncopations, displaced accents, phrase overlaps, registral attacks, and subsequent metric clarification tests how far the claim that displacement becomes intelligible through following reinterpretation can travel before medium, genre, or historical circumstance demands qualification. The comparison does not convert resistance into confirmation. It records which part of the proposition persists, which part narrows, and which supple-

mentary source would be called for to distinguish between rival accounts.

The resistant role of Ludwig van Beethoven's *Six Bagatelles*, Op. 126 also limits the article's vocabulary. If medium or genre changes the operation of syncopations, displaced accents, phrase overlaps, registral attacks, and subsequent metric clarification, the comparison names that difference before proposing an analogy. The case may therefore support only part of the claim that displacement becomes intelligible through ensuing reinterpretation. Such partial support is informative: it identifies the condition under which rhythmic displacement ceases to explain the evidence and marks the point where another historical or organizational account should take over.

5 Displacement becomes intelligible through later reinterpretation

The first result may be expressed in these terms: displacement becomes intelligible through subsequent reinterpretation. This temporal dimension is essential. The finding therefore concerns organization, not inventory.

Reading across the cases demonstrates that the pattern operates at more than one scale. The resulting form is recursive. Interpretation advances, but it also returns to revise what seemed settled. This recursive quality explains why rhythmic argument can remain coherent without becoming predictable.

The relevant unit for testing whether displacement becomes intelligible through ensuing reinterpretation is a connected sequence, not a detached example. Syncopations, displaced accents, phrase overlaps, registral attacks, and subsequent metric clarification are followed from preparation through occurrence to consequence. This allows Displacement Without Disorder to distinguish structural emphasis from sheer frequency and to show why a rare event may outweigh a common one. The first result therefore depends on demonstrable change: without a shift in expectation, access, or memory, the claim about rhythmic displacement must be narrowed.

6 Registral emphasis can compete with meter without erasing it

The next result proposes that registral emphasis can compete with meter without erasing it. This pattern shifts analysis from isolated form toward distribution. The repertoire repeatedly makes such allocation perceptible.

The question behind the second claim

is operational: what can each position do? Displacement Without Disorder answers by following syncopations, displaced accents, phrase overlaps, registral attacks, and subsequent metric clarification as access and emphasis move among components. Support for the proposition that registral emphasis can compete with meter without erasing it appears when a shift in position produces a shift in result. The comparison also records contrary cases, where apparent promotion changes nothing or where an edge position governs the whole. Those exceptions refine the account of rhythmic displacement by separating location from agency.

7 Recurrence transforms local instability into large-scale memory

Recurrence transforms local instability into large-scale memory. Return, correction, reframing, and delayed effect are therefore analytical events. They reveal how a work stores difference and activates it later.

The findings collectively form a sequence of their own. The first identifies a process that directs attention. The second reveals that attention and authority are distributed. The third explains how subsequent events revise that distribution. This sequence supports the central thesis that rhythmic argument is historically variable and formally organized.

Revision in Displacement Without Disorder is neither correction for its own sake nor automatic proof of complexity. To sustain the claim that recurrence transforms local instability into large-scale memory, the ensuing passage, phrase, image, action, or interface state must reorganize a prior inference about syncopations, displaced accents, phrase overlaps, registral attacks, and subsequent metric clarification. The comparison specifies what changes and what remains stable. This balance lets rhythmic displacement retain continuity without becoming predictable, and it marks the point at which ambiguity is structured by the work rather than supplied by commentary.

8 Discussion: What Rhythmic Displacement Changes

A resistant case is useful here because it separates resemblance from explanation. Evidence that complicates the proposition that displacement becomes intelligible through ensuing reinterpretation calls for a narrower claim, not dismissal. The same test applies to registral emphasis can compete with meter without erasing it and recurrence transforms local

instability into large-scale memory. Displacement Without Disorder gains coherence from those limits: agreement among cases counts only after their differences have been described.

The comparison also suggests that rhythm participates in compositional reasoning by revising what listeners take to be structurally accented. This consequence does not depend on universal agreement about every example.

Comparison also demands asymmetry. The four cases need not display rhythmic displacement with equal clarity, and the least cooperative case carries special weight. It tests whether displacement becomes intelligible through following reinterpretation, registral emphasis can compete with meter without erasing it, and recurrence transforms local instability into large-scale memory name connected operations or merely repeat the vocabulary of the article. By allowing a claim to contract when the evidence resists it, Displacement Without Disorder turns variation into a condition of interpretation rather than a problem to be edited away.

The comparative gain in Displacement Without Disorder comes from holding the question steady while allowing the organizational answer to vary. Each case is asked how rhythmic displacement is prepared, allocated, and revised through syncopations, displaced accents, phrase overlaps, registral attacks, and subsequent metric clarification. Because the answer may differ by genre, medium, or historical setting, parallel at the surface is insufficient. The contribution lies in explaining why formally dissimilar events can perform comparable work, and why similar events sometimes demand different interpretations.

Finally, the argument treats uncertainty as a result to be described. When two accounts account for syncopations, displaced accents, phrase overlaps, registral attacks, and subsequent metric clarification with comparable force, Displacement Without Disorder does not select one merely to produce closure. It identifies what further edition, document, recording, image, or contextual source would distinguish them. This practice gives rhythmic displacement a clear evidentiary boundary and prevents confidence of tone from standing in for support.

9 Conclusion

The reading of the notated passages was organized around the question of how rhythmic displacement produces ar-

gumentative continuity rather than simple disruption. Through score-based comparative analysis relating surface accent, notated meter, phrase function, texture, and return, it argued that displacement becomes intelligible through following reinterpretation; that registrational emphasis can compete with meter without erasing it; and that recurrence transforms local instability into large-scale memory. These claims converge on a relational account of rhythmic argument.

Beyond the individual cases, the findings indicate that rhythm participates in organizational reasoning by revising what listeners take to be structurally accented.

Bibliography

- [1] Harald Krebs. *Fantasy Pieces: Metrical Dissonance in the Music of Robert Schumann*. Oxford University Press, 1999.
- [2] William Rothstein. *Phrase Rhythm in Tonal Music*. Schirmer Books, 1989.
- [3] William E. Caplin. *Classical Form*. Oxford University Press, 1998.
- [4] James Hepokoski and Warren Darcy. *Elements of Sonata Theory*. Oxford University Press, 2006.
- [5] Leonard B. Meyer. *Emotion and Meaning in Music*. University of Chicago Press, 1956.
- [6] Fred Lerdahl and Ray Jackendoff. *A Generative Theory of Tonal Music*. MIT Press, 1983.
- [7] David Huron. *Sweet Anticipation*. MIT Press, 2006.
- [8] Ludwig van Beethoven. *Piano Sonata in D Major, Op. 10 No. 3*. public-domain score.
- [9] Ludwig van Beethoven. *Piano Sonata in D Minor, Op. 31 No. 2*. public-domain score.
- [10] Ludwig van Beethoven. *Piano Sonata in F Minor, Op. 57*. public-domain score.
- [11] Ludwig van Beethoven. *Six Bagatelles, Op. 126*. public-domain score.