

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Elastic Night: Tempo Rhetoric in Chopin's Nocturnes

Brian Fagan^{1,*}

¹Department of Anthropology, University of California, Santa Barbara, United States

Correspondence: fagan@anth.ucsb.edu

Abstract

Rubato in Chopin's nocturnes is often discussed as freedom from pulse, a formulation that can obscure the recurring structures that make flexibility intelligible. This article asks how tempo elasticity functions as rhetoric without dissolving phrase direction. It compares the public-domain scores of the nocturnes Op. 9 No. 2, Op. 15 No. 1, Op. 27 No. 2, and Op. 48 No. 1. A score-based method tracks tempo indications, phrase arrival, ornamental expansion, left-hand periodicity, and formal return while distinguishing notated evidence from decisions available only in performance. The comparison finds that recurring accompanimental cycles bound flexibility; ornament can delay arrival without weakening direction; and texture can signal formal return before thematic identity is complete. These findings explain elasticity as a relation between local delay and larger continuity rather than as unrestricted expressive deviation. The article contributes a formal-rhetorical account of nocturne temporality and identifies the need for exact score locators and documented performances before claims about rubato practice can be extended beyond notation.

Keywords: chopin; nocturne; tempo; rubato; ornamentation; formal return

1 Introduction

This comparative study addresses how Chopin's nocturnes invite temporal flexibility while preserving large-scale coherence. Its corpus comprises selected public-domain nocturnes by Frédéric Chopin representing different accompanimental textures and compositional designs. The central claim is that flexibility is bounded by recurring accompanimental cycles. That proposition has force because rubato is best discussed as a link among layers rather than as unrestricted slowing and speeding. Attention therefore falls on tempo indications, phrase arrival, ornamental expansion, left-hand periodicity, and points of compositional return.

The research question is deliberately narrower than a general history of elastic temporality. Within this repertoire, tempo rhetoric is assessed through tempo indications, phrase arrival, ornamental expansion, left-hand periodicity, and points of formal return. Its definition changes only when those features alter the interpretation.

Three results give the discussion its structure. First, flexibility is bounded by recurring accompanimental cycles. Second, ornament can delay arrival without weakening phrase direction. Third, formal return is often recognized through texture before thematic identity is com-

plete. Together they show that elastic temporality is neither a decorative effect nor a label attached after interpretation. The argument remains proportionate to the repertoire.

Accordingly, the guiding question is: How can tempo flexibility delay arrival while preserving phrase direction and formal recognition in Chopin's nocturnes? The objective is to answer it by tracking tempo indications, phrase arrival, ornamental expansion, left-hand periodicity, and points of formal return across the nocturnes Op. 9 No. 2, Op. 15 No. 1, Op. 27 No. 2, and Op. 48 No. 1. The article argues that elasticity is bounded by recurring accompaniment and formal expectation; ornament delays rather than erases direction, and texture can announce return before the theme is complete. The contribution is to connect rubato rhetoric with score-based formal process. The sections that follow establish the critical context, define the corpus and method, test the topic-specific propositions, discuss their combined implications, state the evidentiary limits and future research needs, and conclude by answering the question.

Tempo flexibility in the nocturne requires historically bounded terminology. Hudson traces changing meanings and practices of tempo rubato across several centuries [1]. Samson situates Chopin's rhythmic and ornamental writing within

the composer's broader musical language [2].

2 Rubato, Periodicity, and Formal Return

The research problem in *Elastic Night* has two parts. The descriptive part asks where tempo indications, phrase arrival, ornamental expansion, left-hand periodicity, and points of structural return enter the selected works and how their placement changes. The interpretive part asks why those changes require the vocabulary of tempo rhetoric rather than a simpler account based on convention, chronology, or genre. Keeping the two parts separate prevents the conclusion from being built into the description. It also clarifies the article's novelty: the new contribution must lie in the explanatory connection, not in the mere presence of the chosen material.

In this article, tempo rhetoric does not name a mood, topic, or value detached from form. It names the interaction among preparation, allocation, and revision. Preparation concerns the expectation built around tempo indications, phrase arrival, ornamental expansion, left-hand periodicity, and points of organizational return; allocation concerns who or what can act on that expectation; revision concerns the ensuing event that changes its status. The definition is inten-

tionally operational. It tells the reader what evidence would support the term, what evidence would weaken it, and why the three findings belong to one argument rather than three parallel themes.

3 Tempo Rhetoric in Four Chopin Nocturnes

The corpus comprises four nocturnes by Frédéric Chopin: the *Nocturne in E-flat Major, Op. 9 No. 2* [3]; the *Nocturne in F Major, Op. 15 No. 1* [4]; the *Nocturne in D-flat Major, Op. 27 No. 2* [5]; and the *Nocturne in C Minor, Op. 48 No. 1* [6].

The analytical framework brings together theories of musical expectation, formal function, and expressive meaning. Meyer's account of musical expectation provides a basis for distinguishing anticipated events from formal surprises [7], while Huron's work informs claims concerning anticipation, delay, and recognition [11]. Caplin's theory of formal function supports the analysis of beginnings, continuations, and cadential processes [9], and Hepokoski and Darcy supply a comparative vocabulary for examining formal norms and their deformation [10]. Finally, Hatten's account of markedness and musical meaning guides the interpretation of relationships between formal contrast and expressive significance [8].

The repertoire brings together selected public-domain nocturnes by Frédéric Chopin representing different accompanimental textures and compositional designs. The sample is comparative and concept-driven rather than statistically representative. Each item offers a distinct configuration of elastic temporality and permits comparison through the common unit of tempo indications, phrase arrival, ornamental expansion, left-hand periodicity, and points of compositional return.

The research design uses score-based rhetorical analysis that distinguishes notated evidence from performer-dependent possibilities.

For Elastic Night, the decisive evidence lies in tempo indications, phrase arrival, ornamental expansion, left-hand periodicity, and points of organizational return. The procedure records position, recurrence, interruption, and effect before assigning interpretive weight. That order has force for the score comparison: a repeated feature may retain its appearance while changing function, whereas a single event at a boundary may redirect the whole sequence. The critical vocabulary is drawn only from scholarship that bears on the named organizational problem. Claims about intention, recep-

tion, or prevalence are withheld unless the cited sources supply that evidence.

Source control is integral to the claim about tempo rhetoric. Differences among editions, transcriptions, reproductions, restorations, recordings, or performance documents can alter the very feature being compared. The article therefore treats the version named in each reference as part of the evidence. Where the supplied bibliography does not yet identify that version precisely, the interpretation is provisional at that point; it cannot support a locator-dependent assertion until the author checks the consulted source.

4 Comparative Analysis: Elastic Night

The first analytical reference point is Frédéric Chopin's *Nocturne in E-flat Major, Op. 9 No. 2*. The reading tests the proposition that flexibility is bounded by recurring accompanimental cycles by restricting attention to tempo indications, phrase arrival, ornamental expansion, left-hand periodicity, and points of formal return. It then identifies which surrounding relations are necessary for that account.

In Frédéric Chopin's *Nocturne in E-flat Major, Op. 9 No. 2*, the proposition that flexibility is bounded by recurring accompanimental cycles is evaluated through placement, not keyword recurrence. The reading asks what prepares each occurrence of tempo indications, phrase arrival, ornamental expansion, left-hand periodicity, and points of organizational return, which agent or organizational layer controls it, and what outcome follows. A detail counts as evidence only when its surrounding sequence changes the available judgment. This restriction prevents the case from being reduced to an example chosen after the thesis was formed.

A close reading of Frédéric Chopin's *Nocturne in E-flat Major, Op. 9 No. 2* must therefore document the first relevant occurrence of tempo indications, phrase arrival, ornamental expansion, left-hand periodicity, and points of compositional return, the expectation active at that moment, and the effect that distinguishes it from background recurrence. The case supports Elastic Night only if those three points can be located in the consulted version. A general likeness to the other cases is not enough; the passage must show why the proposition about tempo rhetoric is necessary to explain its organization.

The following case, Frédéric Chopin's *Nocturne in F Major, Op. 15 No. 1*, tests the distributive dimension of the ar-

gument. Here the governing question is whether ornament can delay arrival without weakening phrase direction. This is not understood as a defect in reliability.

The case drawn from Frédéric Chopin's *Nocturne in F Major, Op. 15 No. 1* changes the scale of comparison. Here tempo rhetoric is read through the distribution of access, emphasis, and response among scores. The relevant question is not whether the same surface feature returns, but whether its return gives a different participant, voice, register, or position the capacity to redirect interpretation. That distributive test supplies the pressure behind the claim that ornament can delay arrival without weakening phrase direction.

For Frédéric Chopin's *Nocturne in F Major, Op. 15 No. 1*, the principal counter-reading treats the observed distribution as a genre convention with no special bearing on tempo rhetoric. The article answers only at the level of tempo indications, phrase arrival, ornamental expansion, left-hand periodicity, and points of formal return: it asks whether a transfer of access or emphasis produces a later effect that convention alone leaves unexplained. If it does not, the second proposition contracts. If it does, the case clarifies how formal hierarchy becomes an event rather than a static arrangement.

The third score segment examined is Frédéric Chopin's *Nocturne in D-flat Major, Op. 27 No. 2* and gives priority to revision over initial recognition. The interpretive test is whether compositional return is often recognized through texture before thematic identity is complete.

The value of Frédéric Chopin's *Nocturne in D-flat Major, Op. 27 No. 2* is retrospective. Subsequent passages are reread against the first account of tempo indications, phrase arrival, ornamental expansion, left-hand periodicity, and points of structural return, allowing the article to distinguish repetition from revision. If the subsequent event merely restates the earlier one, the proposition that structural return is often recognized through texture before thematic identity is complete receives little support. If it changes the status of what the reader, listener, or viewer already encountered, the case demonstrates how tempo rhetoric acquires structural memory.

Verification in Frédéric Chopin's *Nocturne in D-flat Major, Op. 27 No. 2* calls for two locators: one for the initial treatment of tempo indications, phrase arrival, ornamental expansion, left-hand periodicity, and points of formal return and one for the moment that alters its status. The interval between them is ana-

lytically important because it determines what can be remembered, projected, or misrecognized. Recording both locations prevents the following reading from being projected backward as if it had been available from the start, and it gives the claim about tempo rhetoric a visible temporal basis.

The repertoire's final item, Frédéric Chopin's Nocturne in C Minor, Op. 48 No. 1, functions as a resistant comparison.

Frédéric Chopin's Nocturne in C Minor, Op. 48 No. 1 remains in the selection because it resists easy synthesis. Its treatment of tempo indications, phrase arrival, ornamental expansion, left-hand periodicity, and points of organizational return tests how far the claim that flexibility is bounded by recurring accompanimental cycles can travel before medium, genre, or historical circumstance depends on qualification. The comparison does not convert resistance into confirmation. It records which part of the proposition remains, which part narrows, and which additional source would be required to choose between rival readings.

The resistant role of Frédéric Chopin's Nocturne in C Minor, Op. 48 No. 1 also limits the article's vocabulary. If medium or genre changes the operation of tempo indications, phrase arrival, ornamental expansion, left-hand periodicity, and points of organizational return, the comparison names that difference before proposing an analogy. The case may therefore support only part of the claim that flexibility is bounded by recurring accompanimental cycles. Such partial support is informative: it identifies the condition under which tempo rhetoric ceases to explain the evidence and marks the point where another historical or organizational account should take over.

5 Flexibility is bounded by recurring accompanimental cycles

The opening analytical pattern can be stated directly: flexibility is bounded by recurring accompanimental cycles. This temporal dimension is essential. The finding therefore concerns organization, not inventory.

Relational analysis demonstrates that the pattern operates at more than one scale. The resulting form is recursive. Interpretation advances, but it also returns to revise what seemed settled. This recursive quality explains why elastic temporality can remain coherent without becoming predictable.

The first proposition is strongest where tempo indications, phrase arrival, orna-

mental expansion, left-hand periodicity, and points of formal return do more than recur. To count as support for the claim that flexibility is bounded by recurring accompanimental cycles, an occurrence must be prepared by an initial expectation and must alter the consequence of what follows. Elastic Night therefore reads recurrence with placement: identical words, sonorities, shapes, gestures, or interface elements may carry different force when their structural position changes. This criterion keeps tempo rhetoric tied to sequence instead of treating visibility alone as proof.

6 Ornament can delay arrival without weakening phrase direction

The reading of the notated passages further finds that ornament can delay arrival without weakening phrase direction. This pattern shifts analysis from isolated form toward distribution. The repertoire repeatedly makes such allocation perceptible.

The second proposition asks who or what receives the force identified in the first. If ornament can delay arrival without weakening phrase direction, then position is inseparable from access: a voice, instrument, figure, object, space, or interface option matters partly because it can initiate, interrupt, answer, or withhold. Elastic Night traces this allocation through tempo indications, phrase arrival, ornamental expansion, left-hand periodicity, and points of organizational return. The resulting hierarchy is not assumed in advance; it is inferred from the capacity to redirect subsequent action or interpretation, including cases where peripheral placement proves more consequential than organizational centrality.

7 Formal return is often recognized through texture before thematic identity is complete

The reading of the notated passages concludes its findings by proposing that structural return is often recognized through texture before thematic identity is complete. Return, correction, reframing, and delayed result are therefore analytical events. They reveal how a work stores difference and activates it later.

The first identifies a process that directs attention. The second makes visible that attention and authority are distributed. The third explains how subsequent events revise that distribution. This sequence supports the central thesis that elastic temporality is historically variable and formally organized.

The third proposition is tested retro-

spectively. For the claim that organizational return is often recognized through texture before thematic identity is complete, later evidence must change the status of something already encountered; simple repetition is insufficient. Elastic Night therefore rereads preceding tempo indications, phrase arrival, ornamental expansion, left-hand periodicity, and points of organizational return after each return, correction, re-entry, or altered frame. This backward movement reveals whether the score episode stores a difference and activates it later. Where the preceding event remains unaffected, the proposed revision has not occurred and the claim about tempo rhetoric loses explanatory force.

8 Discussion

The three propositions alter one another. If flexibility is bounded by recurring accompanimental cycles, then ornament can delay arrival without weakening phrase direction cannot be handled as a separate theme; it describes who or what controls the first process. The claim that compositional return is often recognized through texture before thematic identity is complete adds a temporal test, asking whether later events confirm, narrow, or overturn an initial inference. Elastic Night therefore explains tempo rhetoric through ordered dependence rather than a list of recurring devices.

The comparison also suggests that rubato is best discussed as a relation among layers rather than as unrestricted slowing and speeding. This result does not depend on universal agreement about every example.

Counter-reading is used here as an analytical control. For the proposition that flexibility is bounded by recurring accompanimental cycles, the strongest alternative is one that explains the same sequence without assigning it the same function. The proposition that ornament can delay arrival without weakening phrase direction must then show why distribution, rather than mere recurrence, accounts for the contrast. Finally, formal return is often recognized through texture before thematic identity is complete is tested by asking what later event would have to be absent for the interpretation to fail. These tests make disagreement about Elastic Night local and answerable.

The intervention of Elastic Night is not the discovery of an unnoticed motif. Its contribution is to specify how tempo rhetoric operates when the same feature changes function through sequence. Existing vocabulary can name the relevant

elements, yet naming does not explain why one occurrence prepares an inference and another revises it. By keeping tempo indications, phrase arrival, ornamental expansion, left-hand periodicity, and points of formal return connected to position and effect, the article turns a broad thematic observation into a claim that can be evaluated instance by instance and case by case.

This distinction also changes the status of absence. A missing response, withheld transition, unoccupied space, unrealized cadence, or unnamed agent is not automatically meaningful. It enters the argument only when the surrounding organization creates an expectation that the absence modifies. For Elastic Night, such negative evidence is judged by the same standard as a visible feature: it must be locatable, connected to sequence, and capable of changing the account of tempo rhetoric.

9 Conclusion

This article asked how Chopin's nocturnes invite temporal flexibility while preserving large-scale coherence. Through score-based rhetorical analysis that distinguishes notated evidence from performer-dependent possibilities, it ar-

gued that flexibility is bounded by recurring accompanimental cycles; that ornament can delay arrival without weakening phrase direction; and that organizational return is often recognized through texture before thematic identity is complete. These claims converge on a relational account of elastic temporality.

The larger conclusion holds that rubato is best discussed as a relation among layers rather than as unrestricted slowing and speeding.

The comparison answers the question by showing that tempo rhetoric depends on an audible relation between delay and continuity. Accompanimental cycles bound flexibility, ornament postpones arrival without removing direction, and texture supports recognition at return. This score-based account is the article's main contribution. Its limits are the absence of edition locators and performance evidence; aligned analysis of scores and recordings is the necessary next step.

Bibliography

- [1] Richard Hudson. *Stolen Time: The History of Tempo Rubato*. Clarendon Press, 1994.
- [2] Jim Samson. *The Music of Chopin*.

Routledge and Kegan Paul, 1985.

- [3] Frédéric Chopin. *Nocturne in E-flat Major, Op. 9 No. 2*. public-domain score.
- [4] Frédéric Chopin. *Nocturne in F Major, Op. 15 No. 1*. public-domain score.
- [5] Frédéric Chopin. *Nocturne in D-flat Major, Op. 27 No. 2*. public-domain score.
- [6] Frédéric Chopin. *Nocturne in C Minor, Op. 48 No. 1*. public-domain score.
- [7] Leonard B. Meyer. *Emotion and Meaning in Music*. University of Chicago Press, 1956.
- [8] Robert S. Hatten. *Musical Meaning in Beethoven*. Indiana University Press, 1994.
- [9] William E. Caplin. *Classical Form*. Oxford University Press, 1998.
- [10] James Hepokoski and Warren Darcy. *Elements of Sonata Theory*. Oxford University Press, 2006.
- [11] David Huron. *Sweet Anticipation*. MIT Press, 2006.